Abstract. This study aims to present a diameter class model to predict the effects of different forest management practices on growth and yield conditions of the mixed coppice stands of Persian oak (*Quercus persica*) in the semiarid forests in southern Zagros, Iran. Using inventory data the model was applied to make management recommendations for a forested watershed. Some requested data for the model were extracted from available information on an adjacent forested area. The model was analyzed under a set of different management strategies considering uneven-aged forest management. Linear programming was used to solve the problems. The model yields results of importance for policy development. For instance, the present prohibition of harvests could be counterproductive as some 10–20 times more volume can be extracted when harvests are allowed. In addition, the effect of improved protection of natural regeneration from grazing seems only to be justified if it is combined with harvesting trees. These conclusions should however be considered with great caution as the calculated model parameters hinge on a number of critical assumptions. Sensitivity analyses with the model could show what parameters are critical and therefore motivate research with permanent field trials.
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1 Introduction

The deciduous species *Quercus persica* (*Q. branti var. persica*) known as Persian oak is widely distributed across the Zagros region in western Iran [12, 15, 24]. The Zagros forests, with an area of around 5 million hectares, account for almost 40% of the country’s forests [24]. According to the available written documents [25], they were one of the first exploited forests in the world and were used to build cities around 2700 BC. The persistence of these forests could be due solely to the excellent vegetative reproduction capacity of oaks through shoot regrowth after cutting [19] or natural damage [21]; thus, being in coppice form is an inherent characteristic of these oaks. In Zagros, more than 90% of the oaks are in coppice form [24]. These primarily oak forests are classified as semiarid forests. They are currently considered as degraded forests [12, 24]. Today, there are no commercial sized trees left in Zagros and the lack of regeneration in these forests due to increased browsing pressure on regenerating trees is a major concern [9, 12, 19, 22, 24].

Forests in Iran are under governmental authority. Since the beginning of 2000, with the aim of improving forest conditions, the forest authority has developed long-term programs for the conservation of the Zagros forests. For the programs to be successful, economically, ecologically and socially sound forest management schemes for these uneven-aged, mixed coppice oak forests have to be developed. Since 1970, the volume table of Persian oak known as the Yasuj-form class has been used by the forest authority for forestry practices in the Zagros region [12]. However, the table offers limited help in a dynamic analysis of different management strategies at the forest level.

With the objective of overcoming the limited applicability of yield tables in forestry practice, a great variety of approaches have been developed to project evolution of uneven-aged forest stands [1, 3, 10, 18, 20]. So far, many stand models have been developed for management of boreal and temperate mixed or uneven-aged forests [18], but this has been rarely conducted for semiarid forests, particularly for coppice oaks stands. This could be because utilization of this type of forest is not so economically important.

Numerous studies present management models based on diameter class models for management of uneven-aged forest stands (e.g., [3, 4, 8, 10, 13, 26, 27]). The diameter distribution, where the number of trees is specified by size classes, is one of the most common variables...
obtained from forest inventories in Iran. Therefore, diameter class models would seem appropriate for analyzing forest data to select optimal forest management strategies.

This study aims to present a diameter class model to predict the effects of different forest management practices on growth and yield conditions of the uneven-aged, mixed coppice stands of Persian oak in southern Zagros. We will use the model to make suggestions for development strategies for a case area in the Zagros region. In addition to giving some management recommendations, the analyses highlight the lack of data for the model and can therefore help the Iranian national forest inventory to plan for forest inventories that enhance the reliability of such kinds of models.

After introducing the study area, the mathematical model and the parameters of the model are presented. The uneven-aged forest management model is then applied to the study area under different management objectives. The results will then be analyzed in terms of the ability of the model to support policy analysis and the implications they have for further research.

2 Data and Models

2.1 Study Area. Based on the differences in oak species and climatic conditions, the Zagros vegetation zone is divided into two distinct regions, where the southern Zagros region has less humidity than the northern region [12, 24]. The study was carried out in the Ganaveh watershed (30°27′N, 50°50′E) in southern Zagros, located 15 km north of the city of Dow Gobadan (Gachsharan) in the province of Kohgiluyeh va Boyer Ahmad, Iran (Fig. 1). The mountainous watershed with steep slopes has an area of 6621 ha. Ganaveh village with its agricultural lands, an area of 133 ha, is located in the center of the watershed. The vegetation types, which are mainly oak trees and shrub-bush, cover an area of 5848 ha, extend between 1200 and 2300 m (a. s. l.) and are differentiated and mixed in different parts of the area depending on ecological factors. The remaining area of the watershed is covered by rocks and cliffs. From a 15-year period (1986–2001) of measurement, annual precipitation is approximately 500 mm, and the mean annual temperature is 22.5 °C. The mean minimum temperature for January is 5.3 °C, the mean maximum temperature for July is 42.7 °C and the mean number of days with a minimum temperature of 0 °C or less is 9 days (data from Headquarters of Natural Resources of Yasuj, HNRY). The following figures are based on woodland inventory data gathered by the HNRY in 2003: oak (Q. persica) 79%, wild almonds (Amygdalus spp.) 9%, wild pistachio (Pistacia mutica) 5% and other species 7% are the most frequent woody species. Crataegus sp. and Acer cinerascens are the most important shrub species. Soils vary from moderately deep, well-drained sandy loams to steep gravel slopes with rock outcrops. These soils are classified mainly as lithic leptosols and calcric regosols (HNRY data). The average annual volume growth of Persian oak in southern Zagros is reported to be around 0.7 m³ ha⁻¹ year⁻¹ [12].

Today, livestock grazing on the woodland understory and collection of fuel wood and acorns are the principal objectives of traditional forest management of Persian oak by inhabitants in this forested region, while in previous decades trees were cut to obtain poles for rural house construction [22]. The field inventory data in 2003 by HNRY (more details in the data section) shows that more than 50% of the inventoried plots did not have any woody species regeneration and that 54% of the oaks were in coppice form. The cutting of trees has been prohibited for around three decades, which has caused stability in crown cover density and in the number of large trees [22]. Consequently, letting trees grow old also causes a relatively high rate (20%) of bad quality oak trees (HNRY’s inventory data).

2.2 The Diameter Class Model. The current conditions of regeneration form an important basis for management strategies. In mixed coppice forests of Persian oak, there are two naturally occurring forms of regeneration—by seeds and sprout regeneration. In the presented model, the assumption is that sprouts develop
in every diameter class after cutting or natural mortality. The sprouts possibly coming up from the roots are ignored. Seed regeneration is modeled as a fixed value per period and region.

The forest area is distributed on \( R \) different regions. The reasons for this in the current study are that the natural seed regeneration, the recovery of fuel wood and the initial state of the forest vary as functions of distance from the village. The model operates with a total of \( T \) time periods of equal length. Apart from stems of natural seed regeneration and sprouts, the model keeps track of \( K \) diameter classes of established trees. The model operates with a number of parameters whose value will determine the outcome of processes such as regeneration, mortality, and growth. The values of the parameters are established in the data section following the model description below.

Eq. (1) presents the number of stems of seed regeneration in region \( r \) at the beginning of time \( t + 1 \), \( s_{t+1,r} \), as:

\[
s_{t+1,r} = \eta_r \quad \forall \; t \in 1, \ldots, T-1; \; r \in 1, \ldots, R,
\]

where \( \eta_r \) is a constant regeneration rate per hectare in region \( r \).

Eq. (2) presents the number of sprouts found in region \( r \) at the beginning of time \( t + 1 \), \( c_{t+1,r} \), as:

\[
c_{t+1,r} = \sum_{i=1}^{K} (h_{i tr} + m_{i tr}) \cdot \sigma
\]

\[
\forall \; t \in 1, \ldots, T-1; \; r \in 1, \ldots, R,
\]

where \( h_{i tr} \) and \( m_{i tr} \) are, respectively, the number of harvested trees and the number of trees that are dying in diameter class \( i \) in period \( t \) in region \( r \). The expected number of sprouts for a dead or a harvested tree is given by \( \sigma \). Together, \( s_{t,r} \) and \( c_{t,r} \) represent the recruitment base for established trees in diameter class 1.

Eq. (3) presents the number of trees that die in class \( i \) during period \( t \) in region \( r \) as a function of the number of trees left after harvest, i.e.:

\[
m_{i tr} = (n_{i tr} - h_{i tr}) \cdot \mu
\]

\[
\forall \; i \in 1, \ldots, K; \; t \in 1, \ldots, T; \; r \in 1, \ldots, R,
\]

where \( n_{i tr} \) is the number of trees in diameter class \( i \) at the beginning of period \( t \) in region \( r \) and \( \mu \) is the mortality rate of established trees.

The transitional processes from a diameter class to a higher diameter class are presented in Eqs. (4)–(6). The number of trees in diameter class \( i \), \( (i = 2, K - 1) \), in period \( t + 1 \) in region \( r \) is given as the sum of surviving trees of the previous period that remain in class \( i \) and those that transition from class \( i - 1 \), i.e.:
period, all obtained from the HNRY inventory in 2003, is given as:

\[ s_{1r} = \tau_r; c_{1r} = \tau_r; n_{11r} = \pi_{1r} \]

\[ \forall i \in 1, \ldots, K; r \in 1, \ldots, R \]  

(9)

We also require all variables to be nonnegative, i.e.:

\[ s, c, m, n, h, vh, vf \geq 0. \]  

(10)

So far, the physical or technical part of the problem has been presented. The following two sets of relations (11 and 12), together with the objective function (13), bring in the policy issues:

\[ \sum_{r=1}^{R} vh_{t+1,r} \geq \sum_{r=1}^{R} vh_{tr} \forall t \in 1, \ldots, T-1, \]  

(11)

\[ n_{i,T-\tau,r} = n_{i,T} \forall i \in 1, \ldots, K; r \in 1, \ldots, R. \]  

(12)

Both sets ensure some kind of sustainability of the system. Eq. (11) requires that the harvested volume is nondeclining over time and ensures a steady resource flow from the forest. Initial experimentation with the model showed that without this constraint the initial harvest could be far too high. In contrast, fuel wood collection is not constrained. This is so because fuel wood collection here is a strict function of the state. Eq. (12) requires that the diameter distribution before harvest in each region in period \( T - \tau \) and \( T \) should be the same. Inspection of the solutions reveals that this requirement in effect means that you establish a steady state in period \( T - \tau \) that is maintained thereafter.

The objective function maximizes the value of fuel wood and harvested wood, i.e.:

\[ Max \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{r=1}^{R} (wh_r \cdot vh_{tr} \cdot \delta_t + wf_r \cdot vf_{tr} \cdot \delta_t), \]  

(13)

where \( wh_r \) and \( wf_r \) are the weight or value attached to a harvested cubic meter and a cubic meter of collected fuel wood in region \( r \), respectively, and \( \delta_t \) is the discount factor in period \( t \).

2.3 Data. Data about the distribution of oaks in diameter classes (dbh) were utilized from a systematic inventory with 0.52% sampling intensity, conducted by HNRY in spring 2003 on 171 sample plots. The plots with an area of 0.2 ha were rectangular (40 m \( \times \) 50 m) with distances of 200 m \( \times \) 500 m between plot centers. Numbers of regenerated oaks from both seed and sprouts with a height less than 0.3 m were recorded in subplots with an area of 100 m\(^2\) (10 m \( \times \) 10 m). A few stems that either were below breast height or had a dbh less than 2.5 cm were, for simplicity, counted with the stems in the first class (5 cm).

The model was prepared for three regions (\( R = 3 \)) that were constructed as overlapping circles with a radius of 2, 4 and 6 km, from the village respectively. Given that the total forest area is 5848 ha and assuming that the relative forested area is the same in each sector, the forest areas are 650, 1949 and 3249 ha in regions 1, 2 and 3, respectively. The corresponding number of sample plots to regions was 50, 73 and 48, respectively.

One of the most important elements of the diameter class model is the growth model. Assuming a uniform distribution of the trees in a diameter class, the transition probability of a tree advancing from diameter class \( i \) to \( i + 1 \) can be calculated as \( \gamma_i = g_i / w \), where \( g_i \) is the average periodic diameter increment of diameter class \( i \) and \( w \) is the width of the class [6, 27]. The transition probabilities were based on the annual diameter growth in 5-cm classes of a forest area with almost similar conditions to our forests in southern Zagros, the Monj and Bard-Karkhaneh forest, presented by [12]. The growth period was set to 5 years, not single years. This was due partly to keep the model small, and partly to have transition probabilities somewhat close to 0.5. The classes have mid-class diameters from 5 cm to 50 cm, meaning that \( K = 10 \). The growth model is presented in Table 1.

The proportion of plots with regeneration in the HNRY inventory was 0.13, and it was taken as the probability of regeneration being established. The occurrence of natural regeneration per hectare was assessed by regressing the number of seedlings on distance from the village for the plots with natural regeneration. This resulted in the function \( ES(d) = exp(3.95 + 0.375 \times d) \) (\( R^2 = 0.30 \)), where \( d \) is the distance in km from the village. The number of regenerated trees per hectare at any given time, \( ES(d) \times 0.13 \), then becomes 9.55, 19.50 and 39.82 for the three regions, respectively. The total recruitment base during a 5-year period, \( \eta_p \), is thus five times this measure, or 47.74, 97.50 and 199.10, respectively.

Based on data from the Monj and Bard-Karkhaneh forest, Persian oak between 100 and 200 years old has a good propensity to produce a large amount of sprouts. This range encompasses especially diameters between 20 and 45 cm. After cutting the trees down, there were no sprouts on 18.2% of the stumps. On average, there were 4.7 sprouts on each stump that yielded sprouts, yielding an expected number of sprouts per dead tree, \( \sigma_p \), of 3.85. Here, it is assumed that mortality and cutting produces the same amount of sprouts.

The mortality rate of established trees per 5-year period, \( \mu \), i.e., trees belonging to diameter classes 1 to \( K \) was based on data from Inventory 2003 and the transi-
Table 1: Growth and volume parameters and initial state of the forest.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Seedling/diameter class</th>
<th>Transition probability</th>
<th>Volume (m³ tree⁻¹)</th>
<th>Initial number of seedlings/ trees ha⁻¹</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Region 1</td>
<td>Region 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nat. regen.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>65.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sprouts</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>32.0</td>
<td>119.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>18.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>15.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>12.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>8.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The uneven-aged forest management model was analyzed under a set of different conditions (Table 2). RE-
FER reflects the current management, i.e., harvesting is not allowed, meaning that \( h_{it} = 0 \) and Eqs. (11) – (13) are not in effect. REFER is identical to REFER except that animal grazing is controlled such that natural regeneration could occur in all regions as it does in the furthermost region. Version GPRNS is the base model, and is specified according to Eqs. (1) – (13) and with the parameters set as above. Harvested wood and collected fuel wood is valued equally, a possible interpretation being that everything is used as fuel. GRBNS analyzes what would happen if foraging could be controlled as in version REFER. GRSN1 and GRSN2 are sensitivity analyses of the sprout recruitment parameter. GPRND and GPROD are there to assess the cost of the nondeclining harvest constraint and the steady state requirement, i.e., Eqs. (11) and (12). Finally, version CONNS should illuminate the management implications of harvesting only for construction purposes.

A maximum dimension of 25 cm is set because the quality of larger trees tends to be too poor [9, 12].

The production of harvested wood and fuel wood over the first 100 years, the period for which no steady state requirement is imposed, is presented in Table 3. For all versions with harvest except GPROD, the nondeclining harvest constraint forces the solution to a constant harvest level over the entire planning horizon, making the comparison of harvests over time easy. Production of harvested wood increases by 30% when compared with GPRNS if foraging can be controlled. If sprout occurrence is reduced by 10% and 20%, the output is reduced by 15% and 26%, respectively.

The steady state condition for periods 20–30 has a large effect on the result; if it is removed, as in version GPRND, the harvest level almost doubles. If, in addition to that, the nondeclining constraint is removed, as in version GPROD, the average harvests increase only slightly more. The big difference between GPRND and GPROD is the harvests over time; whereas the harvest in GPRND is 12,000 m³ in each period, the harvest in GPROD is 91,000 m³ in the first 5-year period and then drops to a level of about 9,000 m³ per period. Due to the rather unorthodox or unrealistic harvest pattern, version GPROD will be left out in the following discussion.

Despite the fact that in version CONNS only wood ≤ 25 cm dbh is accounted for and that fuel wood is not accounted for in the objective function, the resulting management yields more harvested wood and fuel wood than the base version. This is an effect of the nondeclining harvest constraint. Because harvests in diameter classes above 25 cm are not accounted for, they can be undertaken without affecting this constraint. Thus, cuttings in these diameter classes are used to regulate the forest and subsequently increase the overall production level.

Collected fuel wood is 10–20 times lower than the harvested volumes (Table 3). For GPRNS, it amounts to an average of 90 m³ year⁻¹ compared with 1283 m³ year⁻¹ of harvested wood. The pattern over time is more or less the same for all versions with harvest, i.e., the amount of fuel wood starts at about 120 m³ year⁻¹ and gradually reduces to about 30 m³ year⁻¹ after 100 years. This also reflects a reduction in standing volume from somewhere below 20 to about 8 m³ ha⁻¹. Current procedures, version REFER, give substantially more fuel wood than when harvests are introduced. Another 18% is achieved when animal grazing is controlled. However, they all start at about the same level and it is only at year 50 that REFER gives about 50% more fuel wood than the other versions. This is also the time when REFER starts to depart from REFER in terms of fuel wood.

Inspecting the regional pattern of harvests reveals that region 1 generally yields very small harvest volumes, at least for the first 50 years. It appears that because harvests are constrained, region 1 is reserved mostly for fuel wood collection and, in later periods, to even out the harvest level.

The harvest pattern among diameter classes differs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Version</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>REFER</td>
<td>Only fuel wood; no harvesting allowed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REFERM</td>
<td>As REFER but with ( \eta_r = \eta_3, ; r = { 1 , \ldots , R } )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPRNS</td>
<td>Parameters as specified in section Models and data; ( w_h = 1 ) and ( w_f = 1 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRBNS</td>
<td>As GPRNS but ( \eta_r = \eta_3, ; r = { 1 , \ldots , r } )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRSN1</td>
<td>As GPRNS but sprout production, ( \sigma ), reduced by 10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRSN2</td>
<td>As GPRNS but sprout production, ( \sigma ), reduced by 20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPRND</td>
<td>As GPRNS but not Eq. (12): ( n_{i,T-r,r} = n_{i,T} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPROD</td>
<td>As GPRNS but not Eqs. (11) and (12); ( \sum_{r=1}^{R} v h_{i+1} ; r \geq \sum_{r=1}^{R} v h_{i} ; r = n_{i,T-r,r} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CONNS</td>
<td>Only construction wood is valued ( (w_h = 1; ; w_f = 0) ); only volumes with dbh ≤ 25 cm accounted for in Eq. (8); else as GPRNS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Description of the versions.
Table 3: The amounts of collected fuel wood and harvested wood (ha\(^{-1}\) year\(^{-1}\)) and year (m\(^{3}\)) over the first 100 years and the corresponding relative amount relative to version GPRNS. Fuel wood as if collected although not valued in the model; harvested volume only with dbh \(\leq 25\) cm.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Version</th>
<th>Fuel wood (ha(^{-1}) year(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Harvest (ha(^{-1}) year(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Fuel wood (relative)</th>
<th>Harvest (relative)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>REFER</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REFIM</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPRNS</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.219</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRBNS</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>0.286</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>1.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRSN1</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.187</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRSN2</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.163</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPRND</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.420</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>1.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPROD</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.424</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>1.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CONNS1</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>0.229</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>1.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4 Discussion

The model gives perspective to a number of management issues. Roughly, about 10 times more volume could be recovered in case cutting of trees was allowed. Thus, the present prohibition of harvests seems counterproductive. Engaging people in harvesting could add to the diversification of income sources already observed in the area in an unpublished paper about livelihood dependency on the woodland resources by [23].

The REFIM version in some way reflects the current efforts of the forest authority, i.e., to control animal grazing while maintaining the ban on tree cutting. The model suggests that this will only have limited effect on the collected amounts of fuel wood, and then not until about 50 years from now. The effect of improved protection of natural regeneration is more pronounced if it is combined with harvesting trees. The harvest level is then increased by about 30% when compared with the case with today’s level of natural regeneration.

However, these contentions should be considered with great caution as they hinge on a number of critical assumptions. Many of the parameters of the models have a rather tenuous basis. Recruitment modeling is unsatisfactory in most models, whatever the type [18]. Some models avoid the problem by excluding ingrowth altogether [27]. In most models, the correlation between the number of recruits on the one hand, and the stand basal area, the tree number and diameter on the other hand, is used, but such regressions still result in poor statistical models and recruitment modeling remains unsatisfactory [18]. For our model, the estimation problems were exacerbated by the fact that there are semiarid conditions with open vegetation cover, more than 50% of the plots lack regeneration and most sample plots were affected by animal browsing. Therefore, we could not find a significant correlation between the mentioned parameters and the number of natural regenerations. Neverthe-
less, we could find a poor relationship between recruits and distance of plots from the village. Yet the fact that the steady state diameter distribution in year 100 has less trees in smaller classes than the current distribution may indicate an underestimation of regeneration.

For the assessment of mortality of sprouts, some empirical evidence could be used. This was not the case with the mortality of natural seed regeneration. Instead, it was derived from the model itself, assuming that the rest of the model was correct and a steady state would eventually develop. However, the relative flatness, especially in the relatively undisturbed region 3, of the inverse J-shaped diameter distributions of the model runs in year 100 compared with today’s situation indicate that mortality may be underestimated.

The assumption of a constant mortality rate over diameter classes is a simplification. It still appears to be a valid approximation since the diameter distribution at steady state tends to the classic, or ideal, inverse J-shaped distribution [7, 16]. This is to be expected for a forest of the kind presented here, i.e. a forest where the competition between trees is small [5].

Here, we use the simplest diameter class model possible, i.e., a model with constant transition probabilities. A number of models have been suggested that are density dependent; thus, they have transition probabilities that are in some way dependent on the amount of trees in the class or in the stand [4, 13, 27]. The density independence should however not be of major concern in this semiarid forest where trees rarely compete with each other. The transition probabilities may still be put to question because they yield an expected age of the larger diameter classes that is clearly below observed values (data from the Monj and Bard-Karkhaneh forest in [12], thus indicating an overestimation of growth.

In summary, there is clearly need for better empirical support of the model parameters. What would be most adequate would be field data including repeated measurements on permanent sample plots to estimate recruitment, stand growth, mortality and survival rates.

However, not only the growth and yield parameters of the model need to be scrutinized. It is also clear that how the objectives and constraints are introduced is of importance. One relates to the implementation of the management actions and the values associated with them. For instance, it has been assumed that all cut trees can be recovered, and, contrary to fuel wood, that there is no cost related to distance of transport.

Another question is raised by the observation that in many areas of the Zagros region, utilization of nontimber forest products (NTFPs) has higher value than utilization of timber [24]. In [23] the authors report that one of those NTFPs is acorns, a source that requires a management strategy that considers tree diameter and the relationship between crown size and per unit crown area production [11]. In addition, the results could indicate that management makes the diameter distribution less steep, and encompass a smaller range of diameters, which in turn could be translated into diminished biodiversity [14].

The constraints and objectives have a decisive influence on the results. This is particularly true of the
steady state condition that equates the diameter distributions between years 100 and 150. Steady state regimes can be viewed as ideal conditions that management could strive for [2]. The results indicate that such requirements need to be handled with care. That the CONNS version can satisfy the constraint by harvesting and, in principle, leave the stems behind, is a sign of an unfortunate combination of requirements. Another issue concerns the objective function and the doubtful realism of discounting values. Intertemporal transfer of values requires access to financial markets, which probably is not an option for most of the villagers of Ganaveh. In practice, at least in this case, it is probably of limited importance because the nondeclining harvest, in combination with the steady state condition, sets a level that is not affected by the discount rate; maximizing the harvest level would yield the same solution.

5 Conclusion

The presented model deals with the management and distribution of diameter classes to predict the effects of different forest management practices on growth and yield of uneven-aged mixed coppice stands of Persian oak in the semiarid forests of the Ganaveh woodland. Although the present model has many parameters with an uncertain value, it should be viewed as a preliminary model with the potential to be developed with information that is more accurate.

The model appears to be a viable option for policy development as it generates results with bearing on such issues. Controlling the animal grazing and maintaining the ban on tree cutting reflects the current efforts of the forest authority to preserve the woodland. The prohibition of harvests seems counterproductive, as harvested volumes could be about 10–20 times larger than the current level of fuel wood collection. Without harvesting, the model suggests controlling animal grazing will only have limited effect on the collected amounts of fuel wood, and then not until about 50 years from now. The effect of improved protection of natural regeneration becomes more pronounced if it is combined with harvesting of trees. The model runs also show that the policy regulations associated with harvesting are important. A steady state requirement is highly restrictive on the production level, whereas a nondeclining harvest constraint regulates when harvests are allocated in time. Management regimes should be fairly straightforward to implement as they can be specified by diameter limit rules.

Because of a number of critical assumptions of the model parameters, the predictions of the model are uncertain. There is clearly need for better empirical support of the model parameters. The exercise shows that sensitivity analyses can be performed that indicate where better data is most needed. In this paper, we choose only to test the sprout production parameter; it appears that the value of this parameter has such an influence on the long run harvest level that it could motivate further field studies.

Further refinements are needed for the modeling of livelihood implications. Engaging people in harvesting could add to the diversification of income sources already observed in the area. NTFPs should be accounted for and management costs added. With better understanding of the growth and yield conditions and the livelihood dependency of the inhabitants, the presented modeling framework could be an effective tool in policy development in dialog with stakeholders.
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